



ZACPRO 6, Phase II PSC Meeting Gaborone, 20-22 October

Strategic Options for ZACPRO Implementation and
ZAMCOM Institutional Development,

22 October 2004

ARCADIS



Introduction

- Consultants' Assignment: Re-active or Pro-Active?
- ZAMCOM Agreement Signed –
Consequences for ZACPRO Implementation
 - Opportunity for more focus on ZAMCOM Institutional Development
 - Changing Institutional Ownership
 - Starting Point: Agreement Signed, No Secretariat Yet



Contents of this Presentation

- Option 1: “Business As Usual”
- Option 2: “Grassroots”
- Option 3: “Interim Secretariat”



Option 1: “Business As Usual”

- Implementation of Refocused Project “as is”
- Reactive Attitude towards ZAMCOM
Institutional Development: Wait for the Secretariat to be Established and Operational
- No Changes to Work Plan Needed



Option 2: “Grassroots”

- Implementation of Year 1 as defined in PIM
- Increased Focus on Stakeholder Participation after Year 1
- Project Implementation through NSCs and Stakeholder Organisations in the Basin – both Governmental and Non-Governmental
- Transfer of Institutional Hosting after Year 1: Regional NGO
- Changes to Work Plan after Year 1



Option 3: “Interim Secretariat”

- Pro-Active Attitude towards Establishment of ZAMCOM Secretariat
- Analyse all Secretariat Roles and Prepare for their Implementation
- Prominent Focus on ZAMCOM Institutional Development – Main Thrust of Project
- Transfer of Institutional Hosting after Year 1: Interim Secretariat
- Changes to Work Plan during Year 1



Option 3: Some thoughts

- ZAMCOM as an Agent for Development:
 - Preparation of Funding Modalities
 - Preparation of Regional Investment Projects
- Strong Focus on ZAMCOM Institutional Development needs Strong Project/Process Manager

