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1. Objectives

1. Review of the financial options report
2. Propose recommended option(s)
3. Provide guidance (roadmap) on how to further explore and develop the recommended option(s)
General remarks from the group

• We need to be careful to not create unhealthy competition between RBOs and the ANBO;
• Some of the RBO are not financially sustainable
• ANBO should focus on its work program on areas that RBOs cannot do by their own and, hence a networking platform like ANBO
  → ANBO should identify its strategic role as a network, i.e. identify its niche and develop its business model.
• Recommend close technical and political alignment between ANBO and AMCOW
• Membership fee amount shouldn’t be stated in the Statute; rather the statute should state there will be membership fee without stating the amount;
Review of the financial options report

- The assumptions used in developing the financing options need to be checked on the ground (ground-truthed).
Recommended financing options

• None of the stand-alone options is found feasible at this time.
• The group recommends the blended option be considered and further explored along the following lines:
  • Alternative 1: the two positions, i.e. one business development manager and one assistant, be funded through increased membership fee (USD 5000 per member per year);
  • Alternative 2: the business development manager be financed through AfDB as TA for a limited duration;
  • Alternative 3: explore the possibility the position of the business development manager be funded through the current UNDP-GEF project;
  • Alternative 4: the business development manager could be a part-time position to reduce cost.
  • Alternative 5: the business development manager could be financed through fees levied on RBOs on project funding;
Roadmap

- In developing the recommended option, the consultants (current phase and next phase) will engage RBOS, RECs, partners;
- the consultants will use combination of survey questionnaire, virtual meetings (skype, etc), and face-to-face meetings where feasible;
- RBOS meetings, where they are going to take place, will be used to the extent possible;
- The following is a tentative roadmap

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Send updated questionnaire to RBOS</td>
<td>12&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBOSs to respond to the questionnaire</td>
<td>25&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule virtual meetings with RBOSs, RECs</td>
<td>July 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Take part in workshops organized by RBOSs (as feasible)</td>
<td>as per timeline of workshops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(as feasible) and engage with relevant stakeholders</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>workshop by RECs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>